

Emerging pharmacological treatment options for MAFLD

Ángela Rojas^{ID}, Carmen Lara-Romero, Rocío Muñoz-Hernández, Sheila Gato, Javier Ampuero and Manuel Romero-Gómez

Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab

2022, Vol. 13: 1–15

DOI: 10.1177/
20420188221142452

© The Author(s), 2022.
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-
permissions

Abstract: Metabolic dysfunction–associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) prevalence and incidence is rising globally. It is associated with metabolic comorbidities, obesity, overweight, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and at least two metabolic risk factors, such as hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia, hypercholesterolemia, insulin resistance, and cardiovascular risk, increasing the risk of mortality. The excessive accumulation of fat comprises apoptosis, necrosis, inflammation and ballooning degeneration progressing to fibrosis, cirrhosis, and liver decompensations including hepatocellular carcinoma development. The limitation of approved drugs to prevent MAFLD progression is a paradigm. This review focuses on recent pathways and targets with evidence results in phase II/III clinical trials.

Keywords: clinical trial, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, pathways, review, therapeutics

Received: 28 July 2022; revised manuscript accepted: 13 November 2022.

Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has become a serious public health problem with a prevalence of 25%¹ and is a leading cause of cirrhosis, liver transplantation, and hepatocellular carcinoma.^{2,3} NAFLD is a multifactorial, complex disease associated with metabolic and cardiovascular disorders, obesity, type 2 diabetes (T2DM), insulin resistance (IR), hypertension, and dyslipidemia. In fact, NAFLD is recognized as the hepatic manifestation of the metabolic syndrome.^{4,5} In recent times, a new definition was suggested for NAFLD, namely metabolic dysfunction–associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD), in which there are several criteria for the diagnosis: hepatic steatosis detected by image test, liver histology or noninvasive biochemical tests, and without any association with significant alcohol intake, defined as 30 g/day for women and 40 g/day for men; long-term use of steatogenic medication; or monogenic hereditary disorders, associated with metabolic disorders, overweight, obesity, and T2DM.⁶

MAFLD spectrum ranges from simple steatosis, characterized by the accumulation of lipids in more than 5% of hepatocytes, to the more aggressive

phenotype, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), histologically characterized by the presence of steatosis, hepatocyte injury (ballooning), and inflammation with or without fibrosis.⁷ The pathogenesis of metabolic liver diseases involves lifestyle (nutritional overload and physical activity), and genetic and environmental factors.

The gold standard for MAFLD diagnosis is liver biopsy, an invasive method that carries risk and is expensive. Nowadays, noninvasive scoring tools are being developed for NAFLD patient stratification such as NAFLD fibrosis score. Whereas, liver biopsy histology results allow to create the NAFLD Activity score (NAS Score) or Steatosis Activity Fibrosis (SAF) score. Both quantify steatosis, hepatocyte ballooning, and lobular inflammation.⁸ In addition, NASH Clinical research network score (NASH CRN) includes the fibrosis stage, and both are very useful to validate the efficacy of treatments.^{9,10}

There is no approved drug for NASH to date, so current treatment consists on the reduction of body weight through lifestyle interventions.^{11,12} New drug development focuses on the restitution of metabolic derangements and halting

Correspondence to:

Ángela Rojas
SeLiver Group, Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBiS), Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío/CSIC/Universidad de Sevilla, Calle Antonio Maura Montaner s/n, 41013 Sevilla, Spain

Hepatic and Digestive Diseases Networking Biomedical Research Centre (CIBERehd), Av. Monforte de Lemos, 3-5. Pabellón 11, Planta 0 28029 Madrid, Madrid, Spain.

marojas-ibis@us.es

Manuel Romero-Gómez
SeLiver Group, Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla (IBiS), Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío/CSIC/Universidad de Sevilla, Calle Antonio Maura Montaner s/n, 41013 Sevilla, Spain

Hepatic and Digestive Diseases Networking Biomedical Research Centre (CIBERehd), Av. Monforte de Lemos, 3-5. Pabellón 11, Planta 0 28029 Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Digestive Diseases Unit, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain. Avd. Manuel Siurot s/n, 41013 Sevilla, Spain
mrromerogomez@us.es

Carmen Lara-Romero
SeLiver Group, Instituto
de Biomedicina de
Sevilla (IBiS), Hospital
Universitario Virgen del
Rocío/CSIC/Universidad de
Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain

Digestive Diseases Unit,
Hospital Universitario
Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla,
Spain

Rocío Muñoz-Hernández
Sheila Gato
SeLiver Group, Instituto
de Biomedicina de
Sevilla (IBiS), Hospital
Universitario Virgen del
Rocío/CSIC/Universidad de
Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain

Hepatic and Digestive
Diseases Networking
Biomedical Research
Centre (CIBERehd),
Madrid, Spain

Javier Ampuero
SeLiver Group, Instituto
de Biomedicina de
Sevilla (IBiS), Hospital
Universitario Virgen del
Rocío/CSIC/Universidad de
Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain

Hepatic and Digestive
Diseases Networking
Biomedical Research
Centre (CIBERehd),
Madrid, Spain

Digestive Diseases Unit,
Hospital Universitario
Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla,
Spain

inflammatory and fibrogenic pathways. This review summarizes different pathways under study for MAFLD treatment and the emerging therapies already in phase II/III registration trials.

Crosstalk of multiple pathways in MAFLD

Metabolic pathways (lipid, glucose, and thyroid).

Steatosis is the consequence of imbalanced transportation, synthesis, and catabolism of fatty acids (FA) in the hepatocytes.¹³ There is an increase in FA uptake and synthesis by hepatocytes and a reduction of FA mitochondrial β -oxidation together with decreased very low-density lipoprotein (LDL) secretion.^{14,15} The main sources of free fatty acids (FFAs) in the liver are diet, adipose tissue (lipolysis), and *de novo* lipogenesis (DNL). In NASH, DNL is increased being one of the most sources of fat.¹⁶ Lipotoxicity caused by high lipid concentration in the hepatocytes results in insulin resistance, liver inflammation, cell injury, apoptosis, and fibrotic remodeling by the activation of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs).^{17,18}

The hallmark of MAFLD is the accumulation of fat in the hepatocytes as lipid droplets (LDs). TGs are the major lipid class present in the LDs, but currently, they have been considered protective regarding cell toxicity. Diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 and 2 (DGAT-2), the main enzyme responsible for TG synthesis, when inhibited results in a reduction of steatosis but with an increase in inflammation, oxidative stress, and fibrosis.¹⁹ Different studies revealed that saturated FFAs were more toxic than unsaturated FFAs. *In vitro* studies demonstrated that palmitic acid (C16:0), the most common saturated FA, increases the number of LDs in the hepatocytes, activates the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPAR-alpha), promotes insulin resistance, the stress of ER, and induces apoptosis, simulating the scenario of NASH.^{16,20} In NASH, there is an upregulation of HMG-CoA reductase, resulting in its accumulation mainly in the mitochondria and enhanced mitochondrial dysfunction, with an increase of ROS, leading to ER stress, apoptosis, and inflammation.^{21,22}

A correlation of Bile Acids (BAs) levels with NASH severity has been described.²³ The farnesoid X receptor (FXR) negatively regulates BA synthesis and plays a crucial role in TGs, cholesterol, and glucose metabolism in the liver.^{24,25}

FXR activation reduces lipotoxicity through inactivating the DNL and increases β -oxidation and cholesterol excretion, thus resulting in reduced IR, inflammation, and fibrosis.²⁶ FXR is a nuclear receptor as PPARs.^{25,27} PPARs (alpha, beta, and gamma) are expressed in the liver and peripheral tissues regulating multiple metabolic pathways such as β -oxidation, gluconeogenesis, and lipid transport.²⁷

Moreover, direct agents targeting molecular pathways of cholesterol and TGs synthesis could be considered good candidates as targets for MAFLD treatment. Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), fatty acid synthase (FASN), stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 (SCD1), and DGAT catalyze the limiting step in DNL. ACC catalyses the carboxylation of acetyl-CoA to malonyl-CoA.²⁸ SCD1 catalyses the formation of monounsaturated fatty acids from saturated fatty acids²⁹ and unsaturated FA are esterified to produce TGs by DGAT1/2.³⁰

Hyperglycaemia induces hepatic fat accumulation contributing to ER stress signaling, progression of liver damage from simple steatosis to NASH and cirrhosis.³¹ Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor (GLP-1R) regulates blood sugar levels. GLP-1 treatment improves glycemic control, reducing body weight and IR,³² being studied as MAFLD treatment.

MAFLD is also associated with thyroid hormone receptor beta (THR β). THR β is expressed in the human liver, and it is involved in lipid metabolism upregulating FFA uptake and oxidation.³³ THR β induces BA synthesis and interacts with PPARs.³⁴ In fact, there are selective THR β agonists that are being developed for MAFLD treatment.

Fibrotic pathways. The management and regression of liver fibrosis in MAFLD patients are one of the clinical endpoints of new drugs. Advanced fibrosis is the most significant predictor of mortality and liver cancer development in MAFLD. Improvement by at least one stage of fibrosis score is essential for the efficacy of drugs. HSCs are the principal cells responsible for collagen deposition in the liver. HSC activation is controlled by several signals such as lipotoxicity and inflammation, two scenarios very frequent in MAFLD. The development of anti-fibrotic drugs for MAFLD is one of the challenges in this area. Briefly, we summarize some targets related to fibrosis pathways.

FXR, also known as bile acid receptor, is also related to fibrogenesis. It is expressed in hepatocytes and Kupffer cells modulating HSC activation.³⁵ FXR exerts multiple beneficial metabolic effects, contributes to glucose regulation at the hepatic and peripheral level, is implicated in DNL and in fatty acid oxidation, and also exerts anti-inflammatory effects,²⁵ thereby influences hepatic metabolism, inflammation, and liver fibrosis, all of them, histological features of NASH.³⁶ FXR agonists improve the histological features of NASH and protect against liver fibrosis development in several animal models.^{37,38} FXR activation also improves vascular inflammation, remodeling, and sinusoidal vasodilation, improving portal hypertension in experimental models.^{39–41}

Fibroblast growth factor families are constituted by FGF21, FGF19, FGF15, and FGF23. Cellular origin, expression, and regulation of FGF19 and FGF21 are not well understood. Fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21) is known to be highly expressed in the liver, and it is involved in liver glucose and lipid metabolism.⁴² Altered FGF21 signaling is implicated in MAFLD pathology. FGF21 serum levels were increased in NASH patients.⁴³ In contrast, lower serum levels of FGF19 were reported in biopsy-proven MAFLD patients independently of liver damage severity,⁴⁴ and levels of FGF19 were inversely associated with disease severity.⁴⁵

Ballooned hepatocytes are a hallmark of NASH and fibrosis progression and represent the activation of apoptosis pathways. Apoptosis signal-regulating kinase (ASK) 1 is implicated in the response to oxidative and ER stress.⁴⁶ ASK1 inhibition prevents liver inflammation, fibrosis, and cell death.⁴⁷ ASK1 deficiency protects against liver damage caused by acetaminophen or under stress conditions such as high fat diet, thus highlighting the therapeutic potential.^{48,49}

Lysyl oxidase-like 2 (LOXL2) plays an essential role in matrix remodeling and fibrogenesis. LOXL2 promotes covalent cross-linking of elastin and collagen fibers, indicating an essential role in fibrosis-associated liver disease and limits its resolution.⁵⁰ LOXL2 was absent in healthy but strongly expressed in fibrotic liver (predominantly in fibrotic septa) in a chronic thioacetamide (TAA) administration animal model.⁵¹ Furthermore, previous studies showed an improvement in liver

fibrosis in a mouse model of mild fibrosis after early treatment with anti-LOXL2 antibody.⁵² Furthermore, delayed anti-LOXL2 treatment in mice significantly reduced collagen deposition and histological signs of fibrosis, promoting a reduction of advanced parenchymal liver fibrosis. Therefore, selective targeting of LOXL2 inhibits liver fibrosis progression and accelerates its reversal.⁵¹

Inflammatory pathways

Fat hepatocyte injury increases several mechanisms of hepatic inflammation driving the progression of MAFLD and thus are under-studied as new targets for MAFLD.⁵³

C-C chemokine receptor type 5 (CCR5) organizes the hepatic recruitment, migration, and/or activation of immune cells as well as HSCs, with subsequent inflammation and fibrosis in MAFLD.⁵⁴ In mouse models of obesity, steatohepatitis, or liver fibrosis, the CCR2 has been unequivocally linked to the aggravation of inflammation and fibrogenesis.^{55,56} In addition, an increased number of cells expressing CCR2 has been observed in patients with chronic liver diseases and fibrosis. Furthermore, elevated numbers of CCR2+ macrophages are found in adipose tissue in patients with NASH.^{57,58}

Monocyte recruitment into NASH liver can be effectively inhibited by the chemokine receptor CCR2/CCR5 inhibitor cenicriviroc (CVC).⁵⁹ CVC was associated with a higher rate of fibrosis improvement after 1 year of therapy.^{59,60} In animal models, CVC treatment improved IR and hepatic TGs levels and reduced histological NASH activity and hepatic fibrosis.

Galectin-3 plays a key role in apoptosis, adhesion, and immune response, and it has been implicated in the disease severity of NASH.⁶¹ Preclinical models and clinical trials showed that targeting Gal-3 could reduce hepatic fibrosis.⁶² Galectin inhibitors are a new class of agents that have been tested for MAFLD progression, such as belapectin which showed efficacy in preclinical models of NASH and liver fibrosis.⁶³

Emerging therapeutics of NASH

Numerous drugs with different targets have been developed in the past 15 years for MAFLD. Many

of them are currently in development or preclinical studies or have already failed to show improvement in NASH features.

The goal of the emerging drugs is the reduction of fatty acid accumulation, reduction of inflammation and regression of fibrosis. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA) guidance describe NASH resolution as the presence of any grade of steatosis, no ballooning, and only minimal (grade 1) lobular inflammation and – at the same time – no worsening of the stage of fibrosis; or the improvement of fibrosis by at least 1 stage without any worsening of NASH (no worsening of ballooning and lobular inflammation, a 1 grade change in steatosis may be acceptable).

In this review, we navigate through the emerging therapies advances in classes of drugs that are already in phase II/III clinical trials (Tables 1–5).

Lipogenesis inhibitors

PF-05221304 and *Firsocostat* are selective ACC inhibitors. Both of them have been tested in MAFLD patients alone or in combination with DGAT2 inhibitors or FXR agonist to maximize the resulting effects. The primary endpoint was changing the liver fat percentage measured by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Reductions in liver fat were reached at dose-dependent manner alone or in combination with DGAT2 inhibitor,⁶⁴ reducing the adverse events (AE) of the ACC inhibitor. In phase IIb, the combination of FXR agonist and ACC inhibitor provided significant reductions in NAS scores, liver steatosis, lobular inflammation and ballooning and improved biochemistry profile in NASH patients.⁶⁵ In this study, patients with advanced fibrosis were included to explore the improvement in fibrosis. Results showed changes in hepatic collagen deposition and a decrease in NASH CRN fibrosis score ($p=0.04$). This combination would offer the potential for fibrosis regression in NASH patients with advanced fibrosis.

TVB-2640 is an FASN inhibitor that reduced liver steatosis in obese subjects with MAFLD risk.⁶⁶ In phase II, TVB-2640 at lower doses improved liver biochemistry and lipid profile, and attenuated steatosis and fibrosis biomarkers after

12 weeks.⁶⁷ TVB-2640 decreased serum fibrosis markers such as PRO-C3, TIMP-1, and PIINP at 12 weeks, demonstrating that FASN inhibition could have an impact on HSCs and fibrogenesis pathways. A subsequent phase II study has been initiated to know the impact on the resolution of NASH without worsening of liver fibrosis.

Ervogastat (PF-06865571) is a selective DGAT2 inhibitor that reduces the liver fat fraction in patients with mild MAFLD.⁶⁸ Two clinical trials are underway to assess the safety and efficacy of ervogastat alone and in combination with ACC inhibitors in NASH patients with and without liver fibrosis. The primary outcome is the resolution of NASH without worsening of fibrosis or improvement in fibrosis by ≥ 1 .

ω -3 Polyunsaturated fatty acids (ω -3 PUFA) are long-chain FA with a double bond three atoms away from the terminal methyl group. ω -3 PUFA includes α -linolenic acid and its metabolites eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids. Recent meta-analyses included up to 22 randomized control trials (RCT) and more than 1300 patients and found that ω -3 PUFA significantly decreases liver transaminases, liver fat, and IR, having no effect on body weight in MAFLD.^{69–71} There are also artificial ω -3 PUFAs, *icosabutate* (NST-4016), being studied in a phase II trial in patients with biopsy-confirmed NASH. Interim analysis data indicated improvements in noninvasive fibrosis and inflammatory biomarkers.⁷²

PPAR agonists

Pioglitazone, a selective PPAR γ agonist, is supported by the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) and American Association for the Study of the Liver (AASLD)^{8,73} clinical practice guidelines, due to its efficacy in the liver histology in biopsy-proven NASH patients. Pioglitazone improves ballooning degeneration, lobular inflammation, steatosis, and fibrosis.⁷⁴ Although there are several phase IIb trials,^{75,76} there are no phase III trials to demonstrate pioglitazone's histological efficacy. Furthermore, several AE such as fluid retention, weight gain, and bone loss have questioned its long-term use in NASH.

Seladelpar (MBX-8025) is the only selective PPAR δ agonist currently in development for the

Table 1. Clinical trial assessed lipid metabolism pathway.

Target	Drug/ administration route	ClinicalTrials.gov identifier (NCT number)	Endpoints	Status	Cirrhotic patients included
ACC inhibitor	Firsocostat/ oral PF-05221304/ oral	• NCT02781584 • NCT03449446 • NCT04971785 • NCT03248882 • NCT03776175	• NASH resolution, fibrosis improvement, and clinical outcomes related to progression of liver disease	• Completed • Completed • Recruiting • Completed • Completed	• Yes • Yes • Yes • No • Not available
FASN inhibitor	TVB-2640/oral	• NCT03938246 • NCT04906421	• Histological improvement in NAFLD activity score (NAS) without worsening of fibrosis • NASH resolution	• Completed • Recruiting	• No • No
DGAT2 inhibitor	Ervogastat/ oral PF-06865571/ oral PF-05221304/ oral	• NCT04321031 • NCT04399538 + iACC • NCT03776175	• Percent change in liver fat • Proportion of participants achieving • Resolution of NASH, without worsening of fibrosis	• Recruiting • Recruiting • Completed	• No • No • Not available
Artificial ω -3 PUFAs	Icosabutate/ oral	• NCT04052516	• Resolution of NASH, defined as disappearance of ballooning (score = 0) with lobular inflammation score 0 or 1, with no worsening of fibrosis • Change from baseline in NAFLD activity score (NAS) • Changes in individual histological scores for steatosis, ballooning, inflammation, and fibrosis from baseline	• Active, not recruiting	• Not available
ACC, acetyl-CoA carboxylase; DGAT2, diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 and 2; FASN, fatty acid synthase; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; NCT, National Clinical Trial.					

treatment of MAFLD. The interim analysis results of a 52-week phase IIb RCT showed minimally influence on liver steatosis at 12 weeks of treatment.⁷⁷

Lanifibranor is an experimental triple PPAR $\alpha/\gamma/\delta$ agonists. Its predecessor, elafibranor (GFT-505), was discontinued due to lack of efficacy in MAFLD in the phase III. Lanifibranor was well tolerated, and the percentage of patients with meaningful improvements in steatosis, activity, and fibrosis scores was significantly higher in the lanifibranor treated arms in a completed phase IIb study with 247 patients.⁷⁸ Two more trials to evaluate the efficacy of lanifibranor in concomitant MAFLD and T2DM and advanced fibrosis due to NASH are ongoing.

Saroglitazar, a dual PPAR α/γ agonist, had been tested in MAFLD patients with or without T2DM, and it has shown promising results in western trials with an improvement in liver biochemistry as well as hepatic steatosis.^{79,80} It is already approved in India for use in T2DM and pre-cirrhotic NASH. At 12 weeks of treatment, saroglitazar improved clinical parameters such as glucose, HbA1c, total cholesterol, TGs, and liver stiffness when compared with the baseline values.⁸¹

Incretins

GLP-1 receptor agonists are indicated and accepted by the FDA for obesity and T2DM. *Semaglutide* is being tested for the treatment of

Table 2. PPARs agonist clinical trials.

Target	Drug/route of administration	ClinicalTrials.gov identifier (NCT number)	Endpoints	Status	Cirrhotic patients included
PPAR γ agonist	Pioglitazone/oral	- NCT02365233 - NCT02875821 - NCT01431521 - NCT05254626 - NCT01703260 - NCT01068444 - NCT03796975 - NCT00013598 - NCT00062764 - NCT00633282 - NCT00063622 - NCT00227110 - NCT00994682 - NCT04501406	- Improvement of ≥ 2 points in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease activity score (NAS) without an increase in fibrosis stage - Resolution of NASH without worsening of liver fibrosis - Proportion of patients with improvement in the activity component of steatosis-activity-fibrosis (SAF) score	- Terminated - Completed - Completed - Not yet recruiting - Terminated - Completed - Completed - Completed - Completed - Completed - Completed - Completed - Completed - Completed - Recruiting	- No - No - Not available - No - No - Yes - Not available - Not available - Not available - Not available - Yes - Not available - Yes - No
PPAR δ agonist	Seladelpar/oral	NCT03551522	- Hepatic fat fraction, as assessed by magnetic resonance imaging-proton density fat fraction (MRI-PDFF) - Histological improvement of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease activity score (NAS) - Histological improvement of fibrosis	Terminated	No
PPAR $\alpha/\gamma/\delta$ agonists	Elafibranor/oral	- NCT03459079 - NCT04849728 - NCT05232071	- NASH resolution and improvement of fibrosis assessed by liver histology - Delaying NASH disease progression	- Recruiting - Recruiting - Recruiting	- Not available - No - No
Dual PPAR α/γ agonist	Saroglitazar/oral	- NCT03617263 - NCT04193982 - NCT03061721 - NCT03863574 - NCT05011305 - NCT05211284	- Change in nonalcoholic fatty liver fibrosis score - Resolution of steatohepatitis with no worsening of fibrosis - Improvement in liver fibrosis with no increase in NAS for ballooning, inflammation, or steatosis	- Recruiting - Recruiting - Completed - Completed - Recruiting - Not yet recruiting	- No - No - No - No - No - No
PPAR, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; NCT, National Clinical Trial.					

NASH in nondiabetic subjects. In the recently completed 72-week phase II trial, semaglutide treatment achieved the highest response rate in NASH resolution in a trial until now without worsening of fibrosis.^{82,83} However, there was a lack of fibrosis reversal despite the massive weight loss so there is the question if the effects are weight loss-independent effects.

Liraglutide is another GLP1R agonist that has demonstrated a hepatitis activity reduction and fibrosis reduction in the phase II study.⁸⁴ However, the small sample size and the lower mean body mass index (BMI) in the placebo group were important limitations in this study. Nevertheless, improvement in liver fat content in patients with T2DM was also observed in the Lira-NAFLD

Table 3. Clinical trials using incretins and thyromimetics drugs.

Target	Drug/route of administration	ClinicalTrials.gov identifier (NCT number)	Endpoints	Status	Cirrhotic patient included
GLP1R agonist	Semaglutide/ subcutaneous injection	• NCT04639414 • NCT04971785 • NCT05016882 • NCT02970942 • NCT03987451 • NCT04822181 • NCT03884075 • NCT05067621	• At least 1 stage of liver fibrosis • improvement with no worsening of NASH after 48 weeks (yes/no) (worsening defined as an increase of at least one stage of either lobular inflammation, hepatocyte ballooning, or steatosis according to NASH CRN criteria)	• Recruiting • Recruiting • Recruiting • Completed • Completed • Recruiting • Recruiting • Not yet recruiting	• No • Yes • Yes • Yes • Yes • No • Not available • Not available
GLP1R agonist	Liraglutide/ subcutaneous injection	• NCT01237119 • NCT02654665 • NCT02147925	• Improvement in NASH • Liver histological improvement • NAFLD Activity Score • Change of abdominal/visceral adipose tissue • Change in HbA1c	• Completed • Unknown • Completed	• No • Not available • Not available
GLP1R + GIPR agonist	Tirzepatide/ subcutaneous injection	• NCT04166773	• Percentage of participants with absence of NASH with no worsening of fibrosis on liver histology	• Recruiting	• No
GLP1R + GCG agonist	Cotadutide/ subcutaneous injection	• NCT05364931	• Percentage of participants with ≥ 1 point decrease in fibrosis stage with no worsening of NASH on liver histology • Percentage of participants with ≥ 1 point increase in fibrosis stage on liver histology	• Recruiting	• No
GLP1R/GCGR/GIPR agonist	HM15211/ subcutaneous injection	• NCT04505436	• Reduction at least 30% relative reduction of liver fat from baseline by MRI-PDFF compared with placebo. PD assessment liver fat MRI-PDFF	• Recruiting	• No
THR-b1 agonist	Resmetirom/ oral	• NCT03900429 • NCT04951219 • NCT04197479 • NCT05415722	• To achieve NASH resolution on liver histology in noncirrhotic NASH patients with stage 2 or 3 fibrosis • To improve fibrosis stiffness in mild fibrosis patients • Percent change in LDL-C from baseline	• Recruiting • Recruiting • Active, no recruiting • Not yet recruiting	• No • Not available • Yes • No
THR-b1 agonist	VK2809/oral	• NCT04173065 • NCT02927184	• Liver fat • NASH CRN fibrosis score • Change in LDL-C	• Recruiting • Completed	• No • Not available

CRN, clinical research network; GCG, glucagon; GLP-1R, glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor; GIPR, glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide receptor; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MRI-PDFF, magnetic resonance imaging-proton density fat fraction; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; NCT, National Clinical Trial; PD, proton density.

Table 4. FXR agonists and FGF analogues trials.

Target	Drug/route of administration	ClinicalTrials.gov identifier (NCT number)	Endpoints	Status	Cirrhotic patients included
1st-generation FXR agonist	Obeticholic acid (OCA)/oral	• NCT00501592 • NCT03836937 • NCT02633956 • NCT03439254 • NCT02548351 • NCT01265498	• Insulin resistance and glucose homeostasis improvement • Change in fibroscan score (Kpa) and CAP value (Kpa) which signifies fibrosis and steatosis status, respectively. • LDL cholesterol serum levels • Improve in fibrosis at least 1 stage with no worsening of NASH	• Completed • Completed • Completed • Active, not recruiting • Active, not recruiting • Completed	• Not available • Not available • Yes • Yes • No • No
2nd-generation FXR agonist	MET409/oral	• NCT04702490	• Safety and tolerability	• Active, not recruiting	• No
2nd-generation FXR agonists	Tropifexor/oral Cilofexor/oral	• NCT04147195 • NCT04065841 • NCT03517540 • NCT02855164 • NCT02781584 • NCT03449446 • NCT04971785	• Management of adverse events • Percentage of participants who achieved a ≥ 1-Stage Improvement in Fibrosis Without Worsening of NASH • Change in AST, ALT levels	• Terminated • Recruiting • Completed • Terminated • Completed • Completed • Recruiting	• No • No • No • No • Yes • Yes • Yes
FGF19 analogue	Aldafermin/subcutaneous injection	• NCT03912532 • NCT04210245	• Improvement in NASH CRN • Safety tolerability • Improvement in liver fibrosis score (ELF)	• Completed • Active, not recruiting	• No • Yes
FGF21 analogue	Efruxifermin/subcutaneous injection	• NCT03976401 • NCT04767529 • NCT05039450	• Resolution of steatohepatitis with no worsening of fibrosis (NASH CRN system) • Change in liver fibrosis with no worsening of steatohepatitis	• Completed • Active, not recruiting • Recruiting	• Yes • No • Yes

ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; CRN, clinical research network; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; NCT, National Clinical Trial.

study.⁸⁵ In a meta-analysis of eight clinical trials, it was shown that GLP1R agonist could improve histology in T2DM NAFLD patients and liver function with a reduction of BMI, liver fat concentration, and glycaemia levels.⁸⁶

In addition, dual agonists have been studied for NASH treatment, associating GLP-1 with GIP agonism (*tirzepatide*)⁸⁷ or GLP-1 with glucagon (GCG) agonism (*cotadutide*).⁸⁸ There are also novel triple GLP1R/GCGR/GIPR agonists being evaluated, such as *HM15211*.⁸⁹

Thyromimetics

Selective THR β agonists that are currently being developed for the treatment of NAFLD include *resmetirom* and *VK2809*. Resmetirom is the first

oral, liver-directed THR- β 1-selective agonist. In a 36-week phase II randomized clinical trial, resmetirom achieved NASH resolution in a subset of patients with control biopsies. Liver steatosis and liver stiffness improved together with lipid serum profile and fibrosis biomarkers such as Pro-C3 and hepatic enzymes, whereas a significant reduction in NAFLD activity was observed.⁹⁰ VK2809 is another THR β agonist which is metabolized in the liver by CYP450. It showed a very good tolerability profile, and a significant reduction in liver fat was observed by MRI after 12 weeks of treatment.⁹¹

FXR agonists

Obeticholic acid (OCA) is a first-in-class FXR agonist approved by the FDA for noncirrhotic primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) treatment. In fact,

Table 5. Antifibrotic drugs clinical trials.

Target	Drug/route of administration	ClinicalTrials.gov identifier (NCT number)	Endpoints	Status	Cirrhotic patient included
LOXL-2	Simtuzumab/ intravenous infusion	• NCT01672866 • NCT01672879 • NCT02466516	• Safety of the drug and management of adverse events	• Terminated • Terminated • Completed	• No • Yes • No
ASK1	Selonsertib/ oral	• NCT02781584 • NCT03449446 • NCT03053050 • NCT03053063	• ≥ 1-Stage Improvement in Fibrosis According to the Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH) • Resolution of steatohepatitis	• Completed • Completed • Terminated • Terminated	• Yes • Yes • Yes • Yes
CCR2 and CCR5	Cenicriviroc/ oral	• NCT03517540 • NCT03059446 • NCT03028740 • NCT02217475	• Resolution of steatohepatitis with no concurrent worsening of fibrosis stage and improvement in fibrosis by at least 1 stage	• Completed • Terminated • Terminated • Completed	• No • Yes • No • No
Galectin-3 inhibitor	Belapectin/ intravenously	• NCT04365868	• Development of new esophageal varices • Event-free survival by time to first cirrhosis related clinical event	• Recruiting	• Yes

ASK, apoptosis signal-regulating kinase; CAP, Controlled attenuation parameter; CCR5, C-C chemokine receptor type 5; LOXL2, lysyl oxidase-like 2; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; NCT, National Clinical Trial.

it is near to be approved for liver fibrosis in NASH. OCA reduces significantly alanine transaminase (ALT) serum levels, improves NAS scores, and induces histological regression of fibrosis compared with placebo in nondiabetic pre-cirrhotic NASH patients.⁹² In a phase III trial, 14.9% of NASH patients with F1-F3 fibrosis improved NASH without worsening fibrosis.⁹³

But OCA is not exempt from side effects: pruritus and an increase in LDL concentration have been reported. FDA was to delay conditional approval of OCA until more efficacy and safety data are available, mainly concerning the increase of LDL and its possible cardiovascular effect. Second-generation FXR agonists are in development to avoid side effects.

MET409, a second-generation FXR agonist, has better efficacy and less pruritus and LDL levels than OCA.⁹⁴ There is an active phase IIa clinical trial to evaluate MET409 alone or with SGLT2 inhibitor (empagliflozin), but there are no results yet.

Tropifexor and *cilofexor* are FXR agonists with a different structure than OCA and MET409. In a pilot study, 10 patients with NASH and fibrosis (F2-F3) who received 30 mg cilofexor a

nonsteroidal FXR agonist formerly GS-9674, for 12 weeks, experienced decreased hepatic fat, liver stiffness, and improved liver biochemistry.⁹⁵ In a recent phase-2b study, patients with NASH treated with cilofexor for 24 weeks showed a reduction in hepatic steatosis, serum bile acids, and an improvement in liver enzymes levels, but no significant changes regarding liver stiffness measured by transient elastography were observed.⁹⁶ In addition, pruritus was reported as AE. Tropifexor in biopsy-proven NASH patients with F2-F3 fibrosis reduced ALT, gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT) levels, body weight, and liver fat content, and attenuated liver fibrosis in patients with biopsy-confirmed NASH in the 48-week phase II. However, LDL cholesterol and pruritus were the main adverse events producing discontinuation of treatment.^{97,98} More clinical trials with FXR agonists are ongoing to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and their role in complication events of cirrhosis. The results of the study are expected to be announced soon.

FGF analogues

Aldafermin is an engineered FGF19 analogue studied in NASH patients with liver fibrosis stage 2 or 3. It was well tolerated but it did not achieve the primary endpoint: improvement fibrosis

defined as a ≥ 1 NASH CRN stage without worsening of NASH. FGF19 analogue decreased fibrosis stage in 42% of subjects without NASH worsening and an improved of NAS without fibrosis worsening in 63% of patients.^{99,100} New trials are ongoing to determine whether aldafermin improves liver fibrosis in NASH subject with compensated cirrhosis.

Efruxifermin is an FGF21 analogue that significantly attenuated liver steatosis in the 16-week phase IIa in T2DM patients.¹⁰¹ Efruxifermin is now being evaluated in three more phase II RCTs but no clinical data are available yet.

ASK1 inhibitor

Selonsertib, inhibitor of ASK1, reduces steatosis, fibrosis, and inflammation in NASH.^{102,103} The last clinical trial phase III has shown that ASK1 inhibitor was not better than placebo arm in terms of fibrosis stiffness improvement.¹⁰⁴

Anti LOX2

Simtuzumab, inhibitor of LOXL-2, was designed for fibrosis treatment. After 96 weeks of treatment in primary sclerosing cholangitis, hepatic collagen changed but without significant results.¹⁰⁵ Changes in hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) at 96 weeks were measured in NASH patients with compensated cirrhosis, without efficacy. Simtuzumab did not decrease HVPG, fibrosis stages, or liver-related events.¹⁰⁶

CCR2 y CCR5 inhibitor

Efficacy and safety of *Cenicriviroc* (CVC) was evaluated in NASH with F2-F3 fibrosis stages. The endpoint was the improvement of ≥ 1 -stage in liver fibrosis and no worsening of NASH.¹⁰⁷ In a clinical study with pair-liver biopsy (baseline and 1 and 2 year), around 25% of patients achieved more or equal 1 stage of fibrosis and improved liver fibrosis.¹⁰⁸

Galectin antagonist

Although the involvement of galectin in chronic liver disease remains controversial, it seems that its increased expression is linked to accelerated cirrhosis development and worsening of liver function.¹⁰⁹ Hence, modern galectin-targeting

drug candidates are intended for use in advanced NASH complicated by liver fibrosis and/or cirrhosis. *Belapectin* is an inhibitor of galectin-3 that has been evaluated in cirrhotic NASH patients with portal hypertension. In a 52-week phase IIb study, belapectin did not change fibrosis or NAFLD activity, but a significant reduction of HVPG and esophageal varices development was observed.¹¹⁰ A new phase II/III trial has been initiated to evaluate belapectin in patients with liver cirrhosis due to NASH and clinical signs of portal hypertension but without esophageal varices at baseline.

Conclusion

Despite recent advances in the pathophysiology of MAFLD and the development of several drugs that are already close to be approved for MAFLD treatment, new strategies combining multitarget drugs need to be studied.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Author contributions

Ángela Rojas: Writing – review & editing.

Carmen Lara-Romero: Writing – review & editing.

Rocío Muñoz-Hernández: Writing – review & editing.

Sheila Gato: Writing – review & editing.

Javier Ampuero: Writing – review & editing.

Manuel Romero-Gómez: Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgements

Ministerio de Ciencia y Competitividad under grant agreements PI19/01404 and PI19/00589. A contract with fellowship from Consejería de Salud y Familias, Junta de Andalucía, supporting Angela Rojas (RH-002-2021); grant agreements PFIS FI20/00201 from Instituto de Salud Carlos III to support Sheila Gato and by support from Talento Doctores (PID Junta Andalucía, DOC_00866) to Rocío Muñoz-Hernández.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Competing interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

ORCID iD

Ángela Rojas  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0853-4800>

References

1. Younossi Z, Anstee QM, Marietti M, *et al.* Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; 15: 11–20.
2. Pais R, Barritt AS 4th, Calmus Y, *et al.* NAFLD and liver transplantation: current burden and expected challenges. *J Hepatol* 2016; 65: 1245–1257.
3. Michelotti GA, Machado MV and Diehl AM. NAFLD, NASH and liver cancer. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013; 10: 656–665.
4. Buzzetti E, Pinzani M and Tsochatzis EA. The multiple-hit pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). *Metabolism* 2016; 65: 1038–1048.
5. Marchesini G, Bugianesi E, Forlani G, *et al.* Nonalcoholic fatty liver, steatohepatitis, and the metabolic syndrome. *Hepatology* 2003; 37: 917–923.
6. Eslam M, Newsome PN, Sarin SK, *et al.* A new definition for metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease: an international expert consensus statement. *J Hepatol* 2020; 73: 202–209.
7. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, *et al.* The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: practice guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and the American Gastroenterological Association. *Hepatology* 2012; 55: 2005–2023.
8. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, *et al.* The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology* 2018; 67: 328–357.
9. Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Wilson LA, *et al.* Association of histologic disease activity with progression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *JAMA Netw Open* 2019; 2: e1912565.
10. Ampuero J, Gallego-Durán R, Maya-Miles D, *et al.* Systematic review and meta-analysis: analysis of variables influencing the interpretation of clinical trial results in NAFLD. *J Gastroenterol* 2022; 57: 357–371.
11. Romero-Gómez M, Zelber-Sagi S and Trenell M. Treatment of NAFLD with diet, physical activity and exercise. *J Hepatol* 2017; 67: 829–846.
12. Ampuero J, SÁnchez-Torrijos Y, Aguilera V, *et al.* New therapeutic perspectives in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; 41: 128–142.
13. Clark JM, Brancati FL and Diehl AM. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 122: 1649–1657.
14. Nassir F, Rector RS, Hammoud GM, *et al.* Pathogenesis and prevention of hepatic steatosis. *Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015; 11: 167–175.
15. Machado MV and Diehl AM. Pathogenesis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 2016; 150: 1769–1777.
16. Mota M, Banini BA, Cazanave SC, *et al.* Molecular mechanisms of lipotoxicity and glucotoxicity in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Metabolism* 2016; 65: 1049–1061.
17. Pei K, Gui T, Kan D, *et al.* An overview of lipid metabolism and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Biomed Res Int* 2020; 2020: 4020249.
18. Neuschwander-Tetri BA. Hepatic lipotoxicity and the pathogenesis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: the central role of nontriglyceride fatty acid metabolites. *Hepatology* 2010; 52: 774–788.
19. Yamaguchi K, Yang L, McCall S, *et al.* Inhibiting triglyceride synthesis improves hepatic steatosis but exacerbates liver damage and fibrosis in obese mice with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2007; 45: 1366–1374.
20. Leamy AK, Egnatchik RA and Young JD. Molecular mechanisms and the role of saturated fatty acids in the progression of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Prog Lipid Res* 2013; 52: 165–174.
21. Bellanti F, Mitarotonda D, Tamborra R, *et al.* Oxysterols induce mitochondrial impairment and hepatocellular toxicity in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2014; 75(Suppl. 1): S16–S17.

22. Arguello G, Balboa E, Arrese M, *et al.* Recent insights on the role of cholesterol in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2015; 1852: 1765–1778.
23. Bechmann LP, Kocabayoglu P, Sowa JP, *et al.* Free fatty acids repress small heterodimer partner (SHP) activation and adiponectin counteracts bile acid-induced liver injury in superobese patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2013; 57: 1394–1406.
24. Panzitt K and Wagner M. FXR in liver physiology: multiple faces to regulate liver metabolism. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* 2021; 1867: 166133.
25. Arab JP, Karpen SJ, Dawson PA, *et al.* Bile acids and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: molecular insights and therapeutic perspectives. *Hepatology* 2017; 65: 350–362.
26. Calkin AC and Tontonoz P. Transcriptional integration of metabolism by the nuclear sterol-activated receptors LXR and FXR. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2012; 13: 213–224.
27. Fuchs CD, Traussnigg SA and Trauner M. Nuclear receptor modulation for the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Semin Liver Dis* 2016; 36: 69–86.
28. Kim CW, Addy C, Kusunoki J, *et al.* Acetyl CoA carboxylase inhibition reduces hepatic steatosis but elevates plasma triglycerides in mice and humans: a bedside to bench investigation. *Cell Metab* 2017; 26: 394–406.e6.
29. Jeyakumar SM and Vajreswari A. Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1: a potential target for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease? Perspective on emerging experimental evidence. *World J Hepatol* 2022; 14: 168–179.
30. Yen CL, Stone SJ, Koliwad S, *et al.* Thematic review series: glycerolipids. DGAT enzymes and triacylglycerol biosynthesis. *J Lipid Res* 2008; 49: 2283–2301.
31. Fiorentino TV, De Vito F, Suraci E, *et al.* Augmented duodenal levels of sodium/glucose co-transporter 1 are associated with higher risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and noninvasive index of liver fibrosis. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2022; 185: 109789.
32. Nauck MA, Quast DR, Wefers J, *et al.* GLP-1 receptor agonists in the treatment of type 2 diabetes – state-of-the-art. *Mol Metab* 2021; 46: 101102.
33. Pramfalk C, Pedrelli M and Parini P. Role of thyroid receptor β in lipid metabolism. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2011; 1812: 929–937.
34. Ortega-Carvalho TM, Sidhaye AR and Wondisford FE. Thyroid hormone receptors and resistance to thyroid hormone disorders. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2014; 10: 582–591.
35. Li J, Kuruba R, Wilson A, *et al.* Inhibition of endothelin-1-mediated contraction of hepatic stellate cells by FXR ligand. *PLoS One* 2010; 5: e13955.
36. Fuchs CD, Schwabl P, Reiberger T, *et al.* Liver capsule: FXR agonists against liver disease. *Hepatology* 2016; 64: 1773.
37. Fiorucci S, Clerici C, Antonelli E, *et al.* Protective effects of 6-ethyl chenodeoxycholic acid, a farnesoid x receptor ligand, in estrogen-induced cholestasis. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2005; 313: 604–612.
38. Huang W, Ma K, Zhang J, *et al.* Nuclear receptor-dependent bile acid signaling is required for normal liver regeneration. *Science* 2006; 312: 233–236.
39. Li J, Wilson A, Kuruba R, *et al.* FXR-mediated regulation of eNOS expression in vascular endothelial cells. *Cardiovasc Res* 2008; 77: 169–177.
40. Schwabl P, Hambruch E, Budas GR, *et al.* The non-steroidal FXR agonist cilofexor improves portal hypertension and reduces hepatic fibrosis in a rat NASH model. *Biomedicines* 2021; 9: 60.
41. Schwabl P, Hambruch E, Seeland BA, *et al.* The FXR agonist PX20606 ameliorates portal hypertension by targeting vascular remodelling and sinusoidal dysfunction. *J Hepatol* 2017; 66: 724–733.
42. Kim KH, Jeong YT, Oh H, *et al.* Autophagy deficiency leads to protection from obesity and insulin resistance by inducing Fgf21 as a mitokine. *Nat Med* 2013; 19: 83–92.
43. Hui X, Feng T, Liu Q, *et al.* The FGF21-adiponectin axis in controlling energy and vascular homeostasis. *J Mol Cell Biol* 2016; 8: 110–119.
44. Kliewer SA and Mangelsdorf DJ. Fibroblast growth factor 21: from pharmacology to physiology. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010; 91: 254S–257S.
45. Adams AC, Coskun T, Rovira AR, *et al.* Fundamentals of FGF19 & FGF21 action in vitro and in vivo. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e38438.
46. Shiizaki S, Naguro I and Ichijo H. Activation mechanisms of ASK1 in response to various stresses and its significance in intracellular signaling. *Adv Biol Regul* 2013; 53: 135–144.
47. Gilot D, Loyer P, Corlu A, *et al.* Liver protection from apoptosis requires both blockage of initiator caspase activities and inhibition of ASK1/JNK pathway via glutathione S-transferase regulation. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277: 49220–49229.

48. Xie Y, Ramachandran A, Breckenridge DG, *et al.* Inhibitor of apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1 protects against acetaminophen-induced liver injury. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2015; 286: 1–9.
49. Yamamoto E, Dong YF, Kataoka K, *et al.* Olmesartan prevents cardiovascular injury and hepatic steatosis in obesity and diabetes, accompanied by apoptosis signal regulating kinase-1 inhibition. *Hypertension* 2008; 52: 573–580.
50. Liu SB, Ikenaga N, Peng ZW, *et al.* Lysyl oxidase activity contributes to collagen stabilization during liver fibrosis progression and limits spontaneous fibrosis reversal in mice. *FASEB J* 2016; 30: 1599–1609.
51. Ikenaga N, Peng ZW, Vaid KA, *et al.* Selective targeting of lysyl oxidase-like 2 (LOXL2) suppresses hepatic fibrosis progression and accelerates its reversal. *Gut* 2017; 66: 1697–1708.
52. Barry-Hamilton V, Spangler R, Marshall D, *et al.* Allosteric inhibition of lysyl oxidase-like-2 impedes the development of a pathologic microenvironment. *Nat Med* 2010; 16: 1009–1017.
53. Gallego-Durán R, Montero-Vallejo R, Maya-Miles D, *et al.* Analysis of common pathways and markers from non-alcoholic fatty liver disease to immune-mediated diseases. *Front Immunol* 2021; 12: 667354.
54. Krenkel O and Tacke F. Macrophages in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a role model of pathogenic immunometabolism. *Semin Liver Dis* 2017; 37: 189–197.
55. Miura K, Yang L, van Rooijen N, *et al.* Hepatic recruitment of macrophages promotes nonalcoholic steatohepatitis through CCR2. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2012; 302: G1310–G1321.
56. Baeck C, Wehr A, Karlmark KR, *et al.* Pharmacological inhibition of the chemokine CCL2 (MCP-1) diminishes liver macrophage infiltration and steatohepatitis in chronic hepatic injury. *Gut* 2012; 61: 416–426.
57. Haukeland JW, Damås JK, Konopski Z, *et al.* Systemic inflammation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is characterized by elevated levels of CCL2. *J Hepatol* 2006; 44: 1167–1174.
58. Krenkel O, Puengel T, Govaere O, *et al.* Therapeutic inhibition of inflammatory monocyte recruitment reduces steatohepatitis and liver fibrosis. *Hepatology* 2018; 67: 1270–1283.
59. Tacke F. Cenicriviroc for the treatment of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and liver fibrosis. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2018; 27: 301–311.
60. Friedman SL, Ratziu V, Harrison SA, *et al.* A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of cenicriviroc for treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis with fibrosis. *Hepatology* 2018; 67: 1754–1767.
61. Dings RPM, Miller MC, Griffin RJ, *et al.* Galectins as molecular targets for therapeutic intervention. *Int J Mol Sci* 2018; 19: 905.
62. Al Attar A, Antaramian A and Nouredin M. Review of galectin-3 inhibitors in the treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* 2021; 14: 457–464.
63. Jeftic I, Jovicic N, Pantic J, *et al.* Galectin-3 ablation enhances liver steatosis, but attenuates inflammation and IL-33-dependent fibrosis in obesogenic mouse model of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Mol Med* 2015; 21: 453–465.
64. Calle RA, Amin NB, Carvajal-Gonzalez S, *et al.* ACC inhibitor alone or co-administered with a DGAT2 inhibitor in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: two parallel, placebo-controlled, randomized phase 2a trials. *Nat Med* 2021; 27: 1836–1848.
65. Loomba R, Nouredin M, Kowdley KV, *et al.* Combination therapies including cilofexor and firsocostat for bridging fibrosis and cirrhosis attributable to NASH. *Hepatology* 2021; 73: 625–643.
66. Syed-Abdul MM, Parks EJ, Gaballah AH, *et al.* Fatty acid synthase inhibitor TVB-2640 reduces hepatic de novo lipogenesis in males with metabolic abnormalities. *Hepatology* 2020; 72: 103–118.
67. Loomba R, Rinella M, Harrison SA, *et al.* Novel, first-in-class, fatty acid synthase inhibitor, TVB-2640 versus placebo demonstrates clinically significant reduction in liver fat by MRI-PDFF, and ALT in NASH: a phase 2 randomized placebo controlled TRIAL (FASCINATE-1). AASLD The Liver Meeting Digital Experience, November 13-16, 2020. Abstract 67.
68. Calle R, Aggarwal S, Mancuso J, *et al.* Co-administration of PF-05221304 and PF-06865571 delivers robust whole liver fat reduction and mitigation of acetyl-CoA carboxylase inhibitor induced hypertriglyceridemia in patients with NAFLD. *J Hepatol* 2020; 73: S455.
69. He XX, Wu XL, Chen RP, *et al.* Effectiveness of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One* 2016; 11: e0162368.
70. Yan JH, Guan BJ, Gao HY, *et al.* Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis

- of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine* 2018; 97: e12271.
71. Lee CH, Fu Y, Yang SJ, *et al.* Effects of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation on non-alcoholic fatty liver: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients* 2020; 12: 2769.
72. Harrison S, Gunn NT, Sheikh MY, *et al.* Icosabutate, a novel structurally engineered fatty acid, significantly reduces relevant markers of NASH and fibrosis in 16 weeks: interim analysis results of the ICONA trial. *J Hepatol* 2021; 75: S294–S803.
73. Sberna AL, Bouillet B, Rouland A, *et al.* European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO) clinical practice recommendations for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: evaluation of their application in people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2018; 35: 368–375.
74. Boettcher E, Csako G, Pucino F, *et al.* Meta-analysis: pioglitazone improves liver histology and fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2012; 35: 66–75.
75. Sanyal AJ, Chalasani N, Kowdley KV, *et al.* Pioglitazone, vitamin E, or placebo for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 362: 1675–1685.
76. Cusi K, Orsak B, Bril F, *et al.* Long-term pioglitazone treatment for patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and prediabetes or type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2016; 165: 305–315.
77. CymaBay therapeutics reports topline 12-week data from an ongoing phase 2b study of seladelpar in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *CNN Money*, 11 June 2019, <https://money.cnn.com/news/newsfeeds/articles/globenewswire/7634169.htm> (accessed 14 July 2022).
78. Francque SM, Bedossa P, Ratzu V, *et al.* A randomized, controlled trial of the pan-PPAR agonist lanifibranor in NASH. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 385: 1547–1558.
79. Goyal O, Nohria S, Goyal P, *et al.* Saroglitazar in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and diabetic dyslipidemia: a prospective, observational, real world study. *Sci Rep* 2020; 10: 21117.
80. Gawrieh S, Nouredin M, Loo N, *et al.* Saroglitazar, a PPAR- α/γ agonist, for treatment of NAFLD: a randomized controlled double-blind phase 2 trial. *Hepatology* 2021; 74: 1809–1824.
81. Rajesh NA, Drishya L, Ambati MMR, *et al.* Safety and efficacy of saroglitazar in nonalcoholic fatty liver patients with diabetic dyslipidemia – a prospective, interventional, pilot study. *J Clin Exp Hepatol* 2022; 12: 61–67.
82. Newsome PN, Buchholtz K, Cusi K, *et al.* A placebo-controlled trial of subcutaneous semaglutide in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 384: 1113–1124.
83. Liava C and Sinakos E. Semaglutide for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: closer to a solution? *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* 2021; 10: 541–544.
84. Armstrong MJ, Gaunt P, Aithal GP, *et al.* Liraglutide safety and efficacy in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (LEAN): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2 study. *Lancet* 2016; 387: 679–690.
85. Petit JM, Cercueil JP, Loffroy R, *et al.* Effect of liraglutide therapy on liver fat content in patients with inadequately controlled type 2 diabetes: the Lira-NAFLD study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2017; 102: 407–415.
86. Ghosal S, Datta D and Sinha B. A meta-analysis of the effects of glucagon-like-peptide 1 receptor agonist (GLP1-RA) in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) with type 2 diabetes (T2D). *Sci Rep* 2021; 11: 22063.
87. Frias JP, Nauck MA, Van J, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of LY3298176, a novel dual GIP and GLP-1 receptor agonist, in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised, placebo-controlled and active comparator-controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet* 2018; 392: 2180–2193.
88. Ambery P, Parker VE, Stumvoll M, *et al.* MEDI0382, a GLP-1 and glucagon receptor dual agonist, in obese or overweight patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised, controlled, double-blind, ascending dose and phase 2a study. *Lancet* 2018; 391: 2607–2618.
89. Kim JK, Lee JS, Park E, *et al.* 1804-P: HM15211, a novel long-acting GLP-1/GIP/glucagon triple agonist, exhibits anti-inflammatory and fibrotic effects in AMLN/TAA-induced liver inflammation and fibrosis mice. *Diabetes* 2020; 69(Suppl. 1): 1804P.
90. Harrison SA, Bashir M, Moussa SE, *et al.* Effects of resmetirom on noninvasive endpoints in a 36-week phase 2 active treatment extension study in patients with NASH. *Hepatol Commun* 2021; 5: 573–588.

91. Loomba R, Neutel J, Mohseni R, *et al.* LBP-20-VK2809, a novel liver-directed thyroid receptor beta agonist, significantly reduces liver fat with both low and high doses in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a phase 2 randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J Hepatol* 2019; 70: e150–e151.
92. Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Loomba R, Sanyal AJ, *et al.* Farnesoid X nuclear receptor ligand obeticholic acid for non-cirrhotic, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (FLINT): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015; 385: 956–965.
93. Younossi ZM, Ratzu V, Loomba R, *et al.* Obeticholic acid for the treatment of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: interim analysis from a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2019; 394: 2184–2196.
94. Harrison SA, Bashir MR, Lee KJ, *et al.* A structurally optimized FXR agonist, MET409, reduced liver fat content over 12 weeks in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *J Hepatol* 2021; 75: 25–33.
95. Lawitz E, Herring R, Younes ZH, *et al.* Proof of concept study of an apoptosis-signal regulating kinase (ASK1) inhibitor (selonsertib) in combination with an acetyl-CoA carboxylase inhibitor (GS-0976) or a farnesoid X receptor agonist (GS-9674) in NASH. *J Hepatol* 2018; 68: S57.
96. Patel K, Harrison SA, Elkhatab M, *et al.* Cilofexor, a nonsteroidal FXR agonist, in patients with noncirrhotic NASH: a phase 2 randomized controlled trial. *Hepatology* 2020; 72: 58–71.
97. Lucas KJ, Lopez P, Lawitz E, *et al.* Tropifexor, a highly potent FXR agonist, produces robust and dose-dependent reductions in hepatic fat and serum alanine aminotransferase in patients with fibrotic NASH after 12 weeks of therapy: FLIGHT-FXR Part C interim results. *Dig Liver Dis* 2020; 52: e38.
98. Naoumov NV, Brees D, Loeffler J, *et al.* Digital pathology with artificial intelligence analyses provides greater insights into treatment-induced fibrosis regression in NASH. *J Hepatol* 2022; 77: 1399–1409.
99. Harrison SA, Abdelmalek MF, Neff GW, *et al.* Topline results from the ALPINE 2/3 study: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, phase 2b trial evaluating 3 doses of the FGF19 analogue aldafermin on liver histology in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and stage 2 or 3 fibrosis. *Hepatology* 2021; 74: 5A.
100. Harrison SA, Rossi SJ, Paredes AH, *et al.* NGM282 improves liver fibrosis and histology in 12 weeks in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2020; 71: 1198–1212.
101. Harrison SA, Ruane PJ, Freilich BL, *et al.* Efruxifermin in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2a trial. *Nat Med* 2021; 27: 1262–1271.
102. Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Lawitz E, *et al.* Improvement of hepatic fibrosis and patient-reported outcomes in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis treated with selonsertib. *Liver Int* 2018; 38: 1849–1859.
103. Loomba R, Lawitz E, Mantry PS, *et al.* The ASK1 inhibitor selonsertib in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a randomized, phase 2 trial. *Hepatology* 2018; 67: 549–559.
104. Harrison SA, Wong VW, Okanoue T, *et al.* Selonsertib for patients with bridging fibrosis or compensated cirrhosis due to NASH: results from randomized phase III STELLAR trials. *J Hepatol* 2020; 73: 26–39.
105. Muir AJ, Levy C, Janssen HLA, *et al.* Simtuzumab for primary sclerosing cholangitis: phase 2 study results with insights on the natural history of the disease. *Hepatology* 2019; 69: 684–698.
106. Harrison SA, Abdelmalek MF, Caldwell S, *et al.* Simtuzumab is ineffective for patients with bridging fibrosis or compensated cirrhosis caused by nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Gastroenterology* 2018; 155: 1140–1153.
107. Anstee QM, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Wong VW, *et al.* Cenicriviroc for the treatment of liver fibrosis in adults with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: AURORA phase 3 study design. *Contemp Clin Trials* 2020; 89: 105922.
108. Ratzu V, Sanyal A, Harrison SA, *et al.* Cenicriviroc treatment for adults with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and fibrosis: final analysis of the phase 2b CENTAUR study. *Hepatology* 2020; 72: 892–905.
109. Sun MJ, Cao ZQ and Leng P. The roles of galectins in hepatic diseases. *J Mol Histol* 2020; 51: 473–484.
110. Chalasani N, Abdelmalek MF, Garcia-Tsao G, *et al.* Effects of belaepectin, an inhibitor of galectin-3, in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis with cirrhosis and portal hypertension. *Gastroenterology* 2020; 158: 1334–1345.e5.